

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)	
v.)	
Robert Andrew Thurman)	Case No: <u>4:96CR20-1/4:98CR89-1</u>
)	USM No: <u>14429-058</u>
Date of Previous Judgment: <u>5/10/99</u>)	<u>Aaron E. Michel</u>
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable))	Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ **DENIED.** ☐ **GRANTED** and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: _____	Amended Offense Level: _____
Criminal History Category: _____	Criminal History Category: _____
Previous Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months	Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ Other (explain): _____

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Had the 2007 retroactive Crack Cocaine Amendment been in place at the original sentencing, the guideline range for imprisonment would not have changed. It is ordered that as a condition of supervised release the defendant shall submit to the local Residential Reentry Center for a period not to exceed 90 days, with work release, at the direction of the U.S.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 5/10/99 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: October 28, 2008

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)



 Lacy H. Thornburg
 United States District Judge

